# Rapid Estimation of the Parameters of a K-Distribution

Steven Kay\*

Dept. of Electrical, Computer Engineering, and Biomedical Engineering University of Rhode Island Kingston, RI 02881 401-874-5804 (voice) 401-782-6422 (fax) kay@ele.uri.edu EDICS: 2-IDEN April 30, 2007

#### Abstract

### 1 Introduction

The K-PDF is a good model for clutter when the scatterers are not homogeneous. The random variable that describes a noise sample is given by

$$Z = \sqrt{V}U$$

where V is a Gamma distributed random variable with shape parameter  $\alpha = \nu + 1$ , and scale parameter  $\lambda = 1/2$ , and U is Gaussian with mean zero and variance  $\sigma^2$ . The random variables U and V are independent. The PDF can be shown to be

$$p_Z(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma^2}\Gamma(\nu+1)} \left(\frac{|z|}{2\sigma}\right)^{\nu+1/2} K_{\nu+1/2}\left(\frac{|z|}{\sigma}\right) \qquad -\infty < z < \infty.$$
(1)

In this paper we examine the use of a simple but effective estimation procedure for the parameters  $\nu$  and  $\sigma^2$ . Although the maximum likelihood estimator is the asymptotically optimal estimator, it is difficult to implement it for the *K*-PDF. As an alternative estimator, we use the cumulant generating function (CGF) approach as previously described in [2].

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by the Air Force Research Laboratory, Sensors Directorate, Hanscom, AFB, MA.

## 2 Estimation Method

It can easily be shown that the characteristic function for the PDF of (??) is

$$\phi_Z(\omega) = E\left(\exp(j\omega Z)\right) = \frac{1}{(1+\omega^2\sigma^2)^{\nu+1}}$$
(2)

for all  $\omega$ . As a result the CGF is

$$K_Z(\omega) = \log \left[\phi_Z(\omega)\right]$$
  
=  $-(\nu + 1) \log \left(1 + \sigma^2 \omega^2\right).$  (3)

We see that the CGF is now linear in the unknown shape parameter  $\nu$  and nonlinear in the scale parameter  $\sigma^2$ . Hence, we can estimate it by fitting the *estimated CGF* in a least squares sense. The estimated CGF is defined as

$$\hat{K}_Z(\omega) = \log\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \cos(\omega z_i)\right) \tag{4}$$

where it is assumed that N independent and identically distributed (IID) samples of Z or  $\{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_N\}$ have been observed. Also, note that the K-PDF is an even function so that its characteristic function and hence its CGF is real. To estimate  $\nu$  we minimize the least squares error

$$J(\nu, \sigma^2) = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \left( \hat{K}_Z(\omega_k) - K_Z(\omega_k) \right)^2$$
(5)

over a suitable range of  $\omega$ 's,  $\omega_0 \leq \omega \leq \omega_M$ . To do so we first minimize with respect to the linear parameter  $\nu$  of

$$J(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \left[ \hat{K}_Z(\omega_k) - \left( \underbrace{-(\nu+1)}_{\theta_1} \log \left( 1 + \underbrace{\sigma^2}_{\theta_2} \omega_k^2 \right) \right) \right]^2$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{M} \left( \hat{K}_Z(\omega_k) - \theta_1 \log \left( 1 + \theta_2 \omega_k^2 \right) \right)^2$$
$$= (\hat{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{H}(\theta_2) \theta_1)^T (\hat{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{H}(\theta_2) \theta_1)$$
(6)

where we have let

$$\hat{\mathbf{K}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{K}_Z(\omega_0) \\ \hat{K}_Z(\omega_1) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{K}_Z(\omega_M) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{H}(\theta_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \ln(1+\theta_2\omega_0^2) \\ \ln(1+\theta_2\omega_1^2) \\ \vdots \\ \ln(1+\theta_2\omega_M^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

The minimization of  $J(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  over  $\theta_1$  produces the result [1]

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \left(\mathbf{H}^T(\theta_2)\mathbf{H}(\theta_2)\right)^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T(\theta_2)\hat{\mathbf{K}}$$
(7)

which when substituted into (??) produces

$$J(\hat{\theta}_1, \theta_2) = \hat{\mathbf{K}}^T \left( \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}(\theta_2) \left( \mathbf{H}^T(\theta_2) \mathbf{H}(\theta_2) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T(\theta_2) \right) \hat{\mathbf{K}}$$

so that equivalently we need to maximize

$$L(\theta_2) = \hat{\mathbf{K}}^T \mathbf{H}(\theta_2) \left( \mathbf{H}^T(\theta_2) \mathbf{H}(\theta_2) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T(\theta_2) \hat{\mathbf{K}}$$

over  $\theta_2$ . This can be written as

$$L(\theta_2) = \frac{\left[\sum_{k=0}^{M} \hat{K}_Z(\omega_k) \ln(1 + \theta_2 \omega_k^2)\right]^2}{\sum_{k=0}^{M} \left[\ln(1 + \theta_2 \omega_k^2)\right]^2}$$
(8)

and must be maximized over  $\theta_2 > 0$  using a grid search. Once the maximizing value of  $\theta_2 = \sigma^2$  has been found, then this value is the least squares estimate  $\hat{\theta}_2 = \hat{\sigma^2}$ . With this value, the estimate of  $\theta_1$  easily follows from (??) as

$$\hat{\theta}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{M} \hat{K}_{Z}(\omega_{k}) \ln(1 + \hat{\theta}_{2}\omega_{k}^{2})}{\sum_{k=0}^{M} \left[\ln(1 + \hat{\theta}_{2}\omega_{k}^{2})\right]^{2}}$$
(9)

and thus,  $\hat{\nu} = -(1 + \hat{\theta_1})$ . The only parameter that needs to be specified is the set of  $\omega$ 's for which the error is minimized over. Typically, we choose these to be equally spaced over an interval [0, 0.5].

## 3 Computer Simulation Results

The estimator described for  $\nu$  and  $\sigma^2$  was implemented to determine its bias and variance. As a basis for comparison we also compare the performance to that of a method of moments estimator. The latter is easy to implement but has no optimality properties [1]. It is easily shown that

$$E[Z^{2}] = 2(\nu+1)\sigma^{2}$$
$$E[Z^{4}] = 12(\nu+1)(\nu+2)(\sigma^{2})^{2}$$

so that solving for the unknown parameters produces

$$\sigma^{2} = \frac{E[Z^{4}] - 3E^{2}[Z^{2}]}{6E[Z^{2}]}$$
$$\nu = \frac{E[Z^{2}]}{2\sigma^{2}} - 1.$$

The method of moments estimator for  $\sigma^2$  and  $\nu$  is obtained by replacing the second- and fourth-order moments by the sample moments.

For the simulation we used  $\nu = 2$ ,  $\sigma^2 = 3$ , N = 1000 data samples, and  $\omega_k = 0.01k$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 500$ . We searched over  $1 \le \sigma^2 \le 5$  in maximizing (??). To assess the variance performance we also computed the Cramer-Rao lower bound (CRLB). From [3] it was found that for  $\nu = 2$ 

$$\begin{array}{lll} CRLB(\hat{\nu}) &=& \displaystyle \frac{620}{N} \\ CRLB(\sigma^2) &=& \displaystyle \frac{80(\sigma^2)^2}{N} \end{array}$$

The results are shown in Table 1. Note that the CGF estimator has less bias and variance for both

Table 1: Estimator performance for  $\nu = 2$ ,  $\sigma^2 = 3$ , and N = 1000

	$\nu$ - mean	$\nu$ - variance	$\sigma^2$ - mean	$\sigma^2$ - variance
Moments estimator	2.6857	3.7867	2.9198	1.5183
CGF estimator	2.3595	1.5188	2.9974	0.9557
CRLB		0.6200		0.7200

parameters. Its variance performance versus the CRLB is an increased factor of 1.5188/0.6200 = 2.45 for  $\nu$  and 0.9557/0.7200 = 1.32 for  $\sigma^2$  while that for the method of moments estimator is substantially higher.

# References

- Kay, S., Fundamentals of Statistical Signal Processing: Estimation Theory, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1993.
- Kay, S., D. Middleton, "Estimation of the Parameters of the Class A Models via the Cumulant Generating Function", CISS 2002, Princeton, NJ March 2002.
- **3.** Kay, S., C. Xu, "Cramer-Rao Lower Bound Computation via the Characteristic Function with Application to the K-Distribution", to be published in *IEEE Trans. on Aerospace and Electronics*.